

### **REMARKS**

In section 9, the Examiner allows claims 9-14 and indicates that claims 7-8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. The limitations of claim 7 have been incorporated into claim 1. Thus, the Applicants believe that claim 1 is now in allowable form. Claims 2-6 and 8 are also patentable, at least by virtue of their dependency from claim 1. Claim 7 has been canceled to avoid duplicity. Claim 8 is amended to be dependent from claim 1 instead of claim 7. Claims 15-26 have been canceled.

### **Disagreement matter of record**

In section 6 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 1 and 5 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Hu et al. (US Patent No. 6,444,544). Moreover, in section 7, claims 15-26 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsuura (US Patent No. 6,562,674). In section 8, claims 2-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu et al. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

The Applicants believe that the combination of Hu et al. and Tsuura does not teach all limitations of the claimed invention, such as the following limitations:

Claim 1: "a third conductive layer formed on the part of the dielectric layer placed above the first conductive layer and corresponding to the first conductive layer, wherein the third conductive layer is insulated from the first and second conductive layers, and the second conductive layer and the

third conductive layer are arranged in a straight line”.

Claim 15: “a second conductive layer comprising a position of laser spot formed on the dielectric layer, wherein a portion of the second conductive layer not having the position of laser spot corresponds to the first conductive layer”.

Claim 22: “a second conductive layer comprising a position of laser spot formed on part of the dielectric layer, wherein a portion of the second conductive layer not having the position of laser spot corresponds to the first conductive layer; and

“wherein each fuse structure has one position of laser spot on the second conductive layer, and the fuse structures are insulated from one another”.

However, being desirous to conserve time and funds, the Applicants agree to adopt the Examiner’s recommendations. The Applicants understand that claims 7-8 will be allowed if re-written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base and intervening claims.

The Applicants reserve the right to seek protection for any unclaimed subject matter either subsequently in the prosecution of the present case or in a divisional or continuation application.

The Applicants have attempted to address all of the issues raised by the Examiner in the Office Action as the Applicants understand them. The Applicants believe that the Application is now in condition for allowance. If any point requires further explanation, the Examiner is invited to telephone Troy Cai at (323) 934-2300 or e-mail Troy Cai at [tcai@ladasparry.com](mailto:tcai@ladasparry.com).


The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account No. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, then the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

Enclosed please find a copy of Troy Guangyu Cai's Notice of Limited Recognition under 35 CFR 10.9(b) to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is a client of Ladas & Parry, and the attorney of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Ladas & Parry.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Post Office with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 26, 2004

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date of Deposit)

Troy Guangyu Cai  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Name of Person Signing)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

1/26/2004  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Troy Guangyu Cai  
Attorney for Applicant  
LADAS & PARRY  
5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2100  
Los Angeles, California 90036  
(323) 934-2300


**BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE  
UNITED STATE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)**

Troy Guangyu Cai is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b) as an employee of Ladas & Parry to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is a client of Ladas & Parry, and the attorney or agent of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Ladas & Parry. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Troy Guangyu Cai ceases to lawfully reside in the United States; (ii) Troy Guangyu Cai's employment with Ladas & Parry ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Troy Guangyu Cai ceases to remain or reside in the United States on an H-1 visa.

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

**Expires: March 1, 2004**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Harry I. Moatz  
Director of Enrollment and Discipline